REVIEW

E.B. Gareth Jones

Form and function of fungal spore appendages

Received: December 9, 2005 / Accepted: April 20, 2006

Abstract A wide variety of fungi have spores with appendages or mucilaginous sheaths, which are most elaborate in aquatic ascomycetes, especially marine species. The form and structure of a wide range of appendaged spores are documented from both taxonomic and ecological fungal groups, and their role in nature is discussed. The effect of environmental factors on appendage development and how ascospores are adapted for release from asci are reviewed. How fungi evolved such a variety of appendages in form and structure is also discussed.

Key words Appendaged spores \cdot Attachment \cdot Evolution \cdot Role in nature

Introduction

At one time the study of form and function was in vogue, and for the fungi this reached its pinnacle with the publications of C.T. Ingold on fungal spore liberation and dispersal (Ingold 1965, 1971). A number of publications have focused on spore morphology, ultrastructure, and spore germination (Kendrick 1979). In this review I want to concentrate on appendaged and ensheathed spores, their release, dispersal, settlement, and attachment to surfaces. The major part of the discussion is devoted to appendaged and ensheathed ascospores, their release from asci, and whether environmental conditions affect the development of these appendages. The primitive and advanced appendaged types, and how they evolved in aquatic and terrestrial habitats, are discussed.

The most intensively studied fungal groups with respect to appendaged spores are the coprophilous and marine taxa (Ingold 1971; Lundqvist 1972; Jones 1994, 1995). However, in recent years a wide range of fungi, both taxonomic and ecological groups, have been shown to possess appendaged spores: Rhytismatales (Canon and Minter 1986; Johnston 1994), palm fungi (Hyde 1994, 1995a,b; Hyde and Fröhlich 1995; Pinruan et al. 2002, 2004), coelomycetes (Nag Raj 1993), Trichomycetes (Lichwardt 1976; Lichwardt and Willimas 1983a; Moss 1998; Misra and Horn 2001), Microthyriaceae (Jones et al. 1999), and freshwater ascomycetes (Hyde et al. 1998a,b; Hyde and Goh 1999, 2003; Fallah and Shearer 2001; Tsui and Hyde 2003), to cite but a few examples. In the early mycological literature the presence of appendages or sheaths was often missed, not observed or reported for reasons of the optics available at the time. Improved optics and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) have revealed the elaborate morphology of appendaged and ensheathed spores. Another reason why appendaged spores may not have been reported was the practice of mounting fungi in glycerin or lactophenol; even lactophenol blue does not always stain appendages.

The form, possession of appendages or ensheathed spores, or the release of a drop of mucilage from the spores have arisen in response to environmental conditions, the result of parallel or convergent evolution (Shearer 1993, 2001; Moss 1990; Jones 1995). A similar observation has been made for tetraradiate conidia on leaf surfaces in terrestrial habitats (Bandoni 1972; Ando and Tubaki 1984). This group is excluded from further discussion here, and the reader is referred to the studies of Webster (1987) and Descals (2005).

We can divide appendages, or elaboration of the spore wall, into five major groups (Jones 1994; Hyde and Goh 2003):

E.B.G. Jones (\boxtimes)

BIOTEC Central Research Unit, Phylogenetics Laboratory, National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Technology Development Agency, 113 Paholyothin Road, Khlong 1, Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani, 12120 Thailand e-mail: bhgareth@yahoo.com

This article is dedicated to the late Professor Keisuke Tubaki for his invaluable contribution to mycology and for all his encouragement and support to the author over many years. I appreciate the invitation of the Japanese Mycological Society to write on the above subject, congratulate the society on their 50th anniversary, and wish it well in the coming years.

- Outgrowths of the spore wall. Outgrowths of the epi- and mesosporium (e.g., in *Corollospora maritima* Werderm., *Halosphaeria appendiculata* Linder, Fig. 1), or of only the episporium [e.g., *Ondiniella torquata* (Kohlm.) E.B.G. Jones, R.G. Johnson, & S.T. Moss, Fig. 8], or exosporial extensions of the spore wall (e.g., in *Ceriosporopsis halima* Linder), or extrusion from a pore or pore fields [e.g., *Magnisphaera spartinae* (E.B.G. Jones) J. Campb., J.L. Anderson, & Shearer, Fig. 12; *Saagaromyces ratnagiriensis* (S.P. Patil & Borse) K.L. Pang & E.B.G. Jones, Figs. 10, 11; *Halosarpheia* sp., Fig. 14].
- Sheaths that envelope the spore are usually exosporic [e.g., Sordaria fimicola (Roberge ex Desm.) Ces. & De Not., Figs. 23–25; Massarina and ascospores of bitunicate ascomycetes, Figs. 2, 5, 9). Ascospore sheaths may also be drawn out to form appendage-like structures [e.g., Decorospora gaudefroyi (Pat.) Inderb., Kohlm., & Volkm.-Kohlm.].
- 3. Cellular, but not mucilaginous, as outgrowths of the spore wall and common in coelomycete conidia (e.g., *Chaetospermum camelliae* Agnitho., Fig. 4).
- 4. Mucilaginous drops, the origin of which is often unknown and little studied at the ultrastructural level (e.g., in the hyphomycetes *Sporidesmium*, *Ellisembia*, *Pseudoacrodictys dimorphospora* Somrithipol & E.B.G. Jones, Fig. 19; *Dictyosporium* sp., Fig. 20).
- Elaboration of the spore wall, but not mucilaginous, e.g., fragmentation of an exosporic layer (e.g., *Groenhiella bivestia* J. Koch, E.B.G. Jones, & S.T. Moss, Fig. 7; *Carbosphaerella leptosphaerioides* I. Schmidt, Figs. 26, 27).

Appendages may be cellular, flexuous, rigid, exposed mucilaginous, or enclosed mucilaginous types (Kirk 1966). For marine fungi, limited information is available on the histochemistry of spore appendages (Kirk 1966, 1976, 1986; Lutely and Wilson 1972; Johnson 1982). Exosporic appendages of *Halosphaeriopsis mediosetigera* Cribb & J.W. Cribb) T.W. Johnson are chitinous processes, whereas the mucilage in the end chambers of *Lulworthia medusa* (Ellis & Everh.) Cribb & J.W. Cribb is a mucoprotein. However, in other *Lulworthia* species, the mucilage contains only a carbohydrate moiety (Kirk 1966). In this review I use the term mucilaginous, whereas others refer to appendages as gelatinous or mucoid.

Review of taxonomic and ecological groups with appendaged spores

Marine Ascomycota

Marine Ascomycota greatly outnumber anamorphic fungi in marine habitats, 360/74 respectively, and of these approximately 50% have ascospores with appendages or sheaths (Hyde et al. 2000a).

Jones (1994) reviewed the different types of ascospore appendages in marine Ascomycota and recognized 12 onto-

genetic forms. However, no attempt was made to consider how these had evolved. Sequence analysis of various taxa suggests that appendages have evolved and then been lost during evolutionary times. The polyphyly of the genus *Lindra* (*Lindra thalassiae* Orpurt et al. and *L. obtusa* Nakagiri & Tubaki are placed distantly in sequence analysis of 28S rDNA) is an example of where the mucus-filled end chambers have been lost twice in the Lulworthiales (Campbell et al. 2005). Similarly, in *Saagaromyces* both appendaged [*S. abonnis* (Kohlm.) K.L. Pang & E.B.G. Jones] and nonappendaged [*S. glitra* (J.L. Crane & Shearer) K.L. Pang & E.B.G. Jones] ascospores are known (Pang et al. 2003a,b).

Sakayaroj (2005) mapped the different types of ascospore appendage ontogeny in 52 halosphaeriaceous species onto the most parsimonious tree using MacClade 3.0 and demonstrated there was no discernible pattern in their evolution within the order. For example, ascospores with unfurling bipolar appendages evolved in many distantly placed clades in the tree.

An assemblage of taxa that have a uniform pattern of spore appendage is the Lulworthiales (with the exception of the genus *Lindra*), with an extension of the episporium and mesosporium to form polar end chambers filled with mucilage (Jones et al. 1983; Yusoff et al. 1995). When immersed in water, the mucilage is released as a drop, which on contact with a surface spreads out to form an extensive adhesion pad (Figs. 32–34); this effects rapid attachment to the surface, and the spores are difficult to dislodge (Koch and Jones 1983, 1984; Jones 1995; Yusoff et al. 1995).

A morphological group of marine ascomycetes that appeared to form a coherent assemblage was those with polar hamate appendages that unfurl to form long thin strands: Aniptodera, Cucullosporella, Halosarpheia, Tirispora. Campbell et al. (2003) suggested that at the light microscope level the appendages appeared to be produced in the same manner with little variation between genera and advocated studies at the transmission electron microscope (TEM) level. However, studies have shown there is variation in the way these appendages are formed. In Aniptodera salsuginosa Nakagiri & Tad. Ito, polar appendages initially are membranous like and only later differentiate into fine, intertwining filamentous threads (Nakagiri and Ito 1994). An undescribed freshwater Halosarpheia species has amorphous appendages with little evidence of a fibrillar component (Figs. 28–31; Jones, unpublished data). Although ascospores of some Halosarpheia species have a single long threadlike appendage [e.g., Halosarpheia fibrosa Kohlm. & E. Kohlm., Nantantispora retorquens (Shearer & J.L. Crane) J. Campb., J.L. Anderson & Shearer], others appear to be branched (e.g., A. salsuginosa). In Magnisphaera spartinae, appendage material is extruded through an apical pore (see Fig. 12), while in other species they arise through discontinuities in the episporium (e.g., Saagaromyces ratnagiriensis, Figs. 10, 11; Halosarpheia sp., Fig. 14). In Cucullosporella mangrovei (K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones) K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones, the bipolar unfurling appendages differ in that they comprise two elements: bundles of fibrillar material in an amorphous matrix (Alias et

169

Figs. 1–9. Variously appendaged ascospores. 1 Halosphaeria appendiculata polar and equatorial appendages. 2 Julella avicenniae with mucilaginous sheath (arrows). 3 Flammispora bioteca single polar appendage (arrow) (photograph, U. Pinuran). 4 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) Chaetospermum camelliae section of conidium and appendage delimited from conidium by the inner wall layer, appendage lacks contents; co, conidium; IL, inner conidial wall separates the conidium from the appendage; OL, outer conidial wall that forms the appendage; app, appendage; mu, mucilage that surrounds the developing conidia and appendages; m, plasmalemma, o, oil globule (arrowheads) (photograph, N. Plaingam). 5 Lophiostoma cf. armatispora with the sheath drawn out to form polar appendages. 6 Savoryella appendiculata with tetraradiate appendages formed after release from the ascoma (photograph, Jones and Hyde 1992). 7 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of Groenhiella bivestia ascospore with a fragmenting sheath to form polar and equatorial appendages. 8 SEM of Ondiniella torquata with spinelike polar and a tubular annulus-like equatorial appendage derived from the episporium (photograph, Jones et al. 1983). 9 Massarina velatospora with diffuse mucilaginous sheath (arrows). Bars 1, 2, 7–9 5µm; 4 1µm; 3 10µm; 5, 6 20µm



al. 2001). Molecular sequences confirm that *C. manvgrovei* is distinct from *Halosarpheia* and other more recently designated genera (*Natantispora*, *Panorbis*, *Saagaromyces*). Taxa with unfurling bipolar polar appendages are often distantly placed within the Halosphaeriales, suggesting that this type of appendage has arisen many times during the evolution of the group (Campbell et al. 2003; Pang et al. 2003a,b; Pang and Jones 2004). This is an aspect that warrants further investigation at the ultrastructural level to determine if unfurling polar appendages are stable characters in the delineation of genera in this complex of species.

Marine bitunicate ascomycetes generally possess ascospores with sheaths, as documented for a wide range of *Massarina, Lophiostoma, Phaeosphaeria* species, and other genera (Read et al. 1994, 1996, 1997; Yussof et al. 1994; Kohlmeyer et al. 1995, 1996; Au and Vrijmoed 2002; Hyde et al. 2002). Occasionally some species also have polar appendages (e.g., *Massarina cystophorae* (Cribb & J.W. Herb.) Kohlm. & E. Kohlm.), or release a drop of mucilage from the spore wall but within the mucilaginous sheath [e.g., *Lophiostoma armatispora* (K.D. Hyde et al.) Liew, Aptroot & K.D. Hyde (Hyde et al. 2002)]. When ejected into water the sheath swells, often exceeding 6µm in thickness (*M. ramunculicola* K.D. Hyde). However, within the ascus the mucilaginous sheath is highly condensed and surrounded by a delimiting membrane. The delimiting membrane prevents Figs. 10-14. Transmission and scanning electron micrographs of marine ascospores. 10, 11 Saagaromyces ratnagiriensis TEM of polar region of an ascospore with the ascus showing delimiting membrane (DM), polar appendage (PA), epiplasm (E), episporium (Ep), and mesosporium (Me) (photograph, Baker et al. 2001). 12 SEM of Magnisphaera spartinae appendage exuded through a polar pore in the ascospore wall (arrow). 13 SEM of Tirisporella beccariana with appendage formed by fragmentation of an exosporic layer, with polar appendage (Ap) and verrucose ascospore wall (V)(arrows) (photograph, Jones et al. 1996). 14 TEM of Halosarpheia sp. with fibrillar (f) appendage material exuded through discontinuities in the episporium (ep), with appendage (a), vaculor (v), and mesosporium (me) (photo-

graph, S.J. Read). Bars 10-12,

14 5 µm; 13 20 µm



the sheath from swelling until it is ejected from the ascus (*Saagaromyces ratnagiriensis*, see Figs. 10, 11). In *Paraliomyces lentiferus* Kohlm., the ascospore has a gelatinous sheath and a single, gelatinous, lateral appendage adjacent to the central septum (Read et al. 1992). Appendages comprise electron-opaque fibrils that in immature ascospores are connected to the ascospore wall and larger electron-opaque aggregates of material (Read et al. 1992). In *Tirisporella beccariana* (Ces.) E.B.G. Jones, K.D. Hyde & Alias, a single polar appendage is formed by fragmentation of an exosporic ascospore sheath (Fig. 13; Jones et al. 1996).

Freshwater Ascomycota

More than 500 freshwater ascomycetes have been described growing on a variety of substrata, and of these many have ascospores with appendages or sheaths (Shearer 2001; Cai et al. 2003a). In contrast to the marine Ascomycota, most freshwater taxa actively eject their ascospores, although a few have deliquescing asci [Ascosacculus aquaticus (K.D. Hyde) J. Campb., J.L. Anderson & Shearer (=Halosarpheia aquatica K.D. Hyde), Nais inornata Kohlm.]. Hyde and Goh (2003) describe six types of ascospore appendages, and these follow the basic plan outlined for their marine counterparts:

- 1. Release of a drop of mucilage from ascospores; however, few have developed the special end chambers as described for *Lulworthia* species. An exception is *Cataractispora aquatica* K.D. Hyde, S.W. Wong & E.B.G. Jones, which has ascospores with polar chambers (or polar cells) within which fibrillar mucilage accumulates and is exuded to form long threadlike bipolar appendages in water (Hyde et al. 1999). In *Ophioceras* and *Pseudohalonectria* species an inconspicuous mucilage drop is released at the poles of the filamentous ascospores, but its origin has not been determined (Hyde and Goh 1999, 2003),
- 2. Hamate appendages that uncoil to form thin viscous threads are similar to those reported above for the marine ascomycete genera *Aniptodera*, *Ascosacculus*, and *Phaeonectriella* (Halosphaeriales). Two genera in the Annulatascaceae have polar appendages. In *Cataractispora bipolaris* (K.D. Hyde) K.D. Hyde, S.W. Wong & E.B.G. Jones, ascospores have padlike appendages that spread out in water to form long drawn-out strands (Ho and Hyde 2000). However, in *Diluviicola capensis* K.D. Hyde, S.W. Wong & E.B.G. Jones, the ascospore appendages are more complex. Ascospores have polar caps (filled with mucilage) that detach from the spore tip when in water, and a single sticky, thin, flexible filament unfurls from within the caps to form long threadlike polar appendages. At the TEM level the

Figs. 15-21. Ascospore and conidial appendages. 15 Undescribed lignicolous bitunicate ascomycete with 1septate, brown ascospore with bristle-like polar appendages (arrows) (photograph, L.L.P. Vrijmoed). 16 SEM of undescribed bitunicate ascomycetes, with 1-septate hyaline ascospores with one polar uncoiling appendage. 17 TEM of Pestalotiopsis sp. basal conidial appendage formed as an outgrowth of the inner conidial wall (app); mucilage (mu), inner conidial wall (IL), outer conidial wall (OL), and conidium (co). 18 TEM of Pestalotiopsis sp. polar conidial cell with appendages (app) formed as outgrowth of the inner and outer conidial cell wall, with conidia and appendages enveloped by mucilage; septum (sp) (photograph, N. Plaingam). 19 Conidia of Pseudoacrodictys dimorphospora with drops of mucilage released from the tips of the conidial branches (photograph, S. Somrithipol). 20 Conidium of Dictyosporium sp., with two appendages (arrows). 21 Ascospores of coprophilous ascomycetes (Podospora pleiospora and P. dactylina (redrawn from Bell 1983) with primary and secondary appendages. Bars 15, 20, 21 10 µm; 16 5μm; 17, 18 1μm; 19 20μm



appendages comprise dense interlinked rodlike fibrils (Hyde et al. 1998b).

- 3. Ascospores with sticky mucilaginous or gelatinous sheaths are frequently encountered in such genera as Annulatascus, Cyanoannulua, Fluviatispora, Jahnula, Lophiostoma, Massarina, and Vaginatispora (Hyde and Goh 2003; Raja et al. 2003). These sheaths are highly developed and elaborate in species such as Lophiostoma ingoldianum (Shearer & K.D. Hyde) Aptroot & K.D. Hyde, Loramyces juncicola W. Weston, Massariosphaeria scirpina (Wint.) Leichtm., Patescospora separans Abdel-Wahb & El-Sar., and Aliquandostipite khaoyiensis Inderb. (Inderbitzin et al. 2001; Pang et al. 2002). In Submersisphaeria bambusicola D.Q. Zhou & K.D. Hyde, the thin sheath is drawn out at each end (Zhou and Hyde 2000), while in Jahnula appendiculata Pinuran, growing on palm material from a peat swamp, ascospores not only have a prominent sheath, but also develop long polar appendages that extend beyond the gelatinous sheath (Pinruan et al. 2002).
- 4. Padlike appendages are to be found in species such as *Jahnula bipolaris* K.D. Hyde & S.W. Wong (Hyde and Wong 1999) and *Annulatascus hongkongensis* W.H. Ho, Ranghoo, K.D. Hyde & Hodgkiss (Ho et al. 1999). In *Fluminicola bipolaris* S.W. Wong, K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones, the bipolar appendages initially are appressed to the spore wall, but in water they expand and separate from the wall, but remain attached to it (Wong et al. 1999). They function in the same way as those reported for the marine ascospores in their attachment to substrata (Rees and Jones 1984; Jones 1994),
- 5. Adhesive spore walls can also aid in the attachment of propagules to substrata, although they may appear smooth at the light microscope level. However, ultrastructural studies of *Savoryella* species reveal the presence of an outer layer of fibrillar mucilage, and this has also been observed in *Rivulicola incrustata* Ranghoo & K.D. Hyde (Read et al. 1993; Hyde et al. 1997).
- 6. Hyde (1992) reported that Ascosacculus aquaticus (≡Halosarpheia aquatica) ascospores have typical un-



Fig. 22. Percentage attachment of *Sordaria fimicola* ascospores discharged through 1 cm (*black column*) and 10 cm (*open column*) after 3 h attachment, and subjected to hosing pressures of 2–8 mmHg

furling bipolar appendages. However, when released into water, they develop outgrowths at the spore apex, which in turn branch to form three or four radiating arms. A similar feature has also been described for the marine ascomycete *Savoryella appendiculata* K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones (Jones and Hyde 1992; Read et al. 1993) (Fig. 6).

Terrestrial Ascomycota

Aptroot (2004) commented that an "increasing number of truly terrestrial ascomycetes with appendages that have recently been described" and proceeded to add a further two from dead leaves. Funiliomyces biseptus Aptroot (Amphisphaeriales) has ascospores with two gelatinous appendages, one polar and the other median, while in Munkovalsaria appendiculata Aptroot (Pleosporales) ascospores possess two polar appendages. However, there is no indication as to the ontogeny of these ascospore appendages. In Figs. 15 and 16, two terrestrial bitunicate ascomycetes with appendages are illustrated, both undescribed taxa from Thailand: one with a single polar unfurling appendage (Fig. 16), the other with polar ciliate appendages (Fig. 15). Ensheathed mucilaginous ascospores widely distributed in terrestrial ascomycetes are [e.g., applanodictyosporus Pleosporales (Shoemaker and Babcock 1992), Asteromassarina macroconidica Kaz Tanaka, Y. Harada & M.E. Barr, Splanchonoema argus (Berk. & Broome) Kuntze (Tanaka et al. 2005), and Katumotoa bambusicola Kaz. Tanaka & Y. Harada (Tanaka and Harada 2005)].

Ascomycetes on palms have been shown to support a wide range of appendaged or ensheathed ascospores and spores with drops of extruded mucilage (Hyde 1994, 1995a,b; Hyde et al. 2000b; Fröhlich and Hyde 2000; Taylor and Hyde 2003; Duong et al. 2004). Aptroot (2004) expressed surprise that terrestrial ascomycetes on palms should possess appendaged ascospores. However, many of these are from rainforest palms, where there is high humidity with a film of water on the plants for most of the year, which facilitates spore dispersal and aids in the attachment of appendaged ascospores to substrata (Bandoni 1975). A similar observation has been made for the dispersal and attachment of tetraradiate conidia on leaf surfaces in terrestrial habitats (Bandoni 1972; Ando and Tubaki 1984).

Hyde et al. (2000b) documented 100 palm fungi genera, the majority of which (67) have some kind of appendages or sheaths. Ascospores with mucilaginous sheaths predominated (52); 20 genera had appendages and 10 genera produced polar mucilaginous pads or a drop of extruded mucilage from the spore wall. Some genera possess species with both appendaged and ensheathed ascospores. Taylor and Hyde (2003) noted that 38% of the palm ascomycetes they listed had appendaged or ensheathed ascospores. Many palm ascomycetes have very thick mucilaginous ascospore sheaths (e.g., Arecomyces, Arecophila), or the sheath may be morphologically elaborate (e.g., Carinispora, Frondicola). In Durispora, ascospores have a polar spine, and in Niesslia the ascospore sheath is drawn out at the apex (Bauer et al. 2003; Taylor and Hyde 2003). Oxydothis species are frequently saprobes of palms, and in O. alexandrarum K.D. Hyde the filiform ascospores have bipolar mucilaginous pads with the adhesive released through discontinuities in the episporium (Wong and Hyde 1999). In two Gnomonia species from decaying leaves in a tropical rainforest, ascospores have bipolar appendages, initially a gelatinous "pad," but unfolding at maturity to become fibrillar, flexous, and nonbranching (Paulus et al. 2003).

Why do so many terrestrial ascomycetes have appendaged ascospores? This question applies equally to the Rhytismatales, another group of terrestrial ascomycetes with appendaged or ensheathed ascospores (Cannon and Minter 1986; Johnston 1994; Hou et al. 2005). Of 16 genera listed by Cannon and Minter (1986), only 3 lacked sheaths. Sheaths varied in thickness from 0.5 to $7\mu m$, depending on genus and species. Most rhytismataceous ascospores possess sheaths, which in Bifusella seperba P.F. Canon & Minter are thick and two-layered, whereas in Meloderma desmazieresii (Duby) Darker sheaths are clearly visible within the ascus (Cannon and Minter 1986). However, in the genera Cyclaneusa and Propolis, bipolar caps or gelatinous appendages are present. The possible significance of this is discussed later in this article. Johnston (1994) illustrated appendaged and ensheathed ascospores in selected genera in the Rhytismatales:

Figs. 23-27. Ascospores with sheaths. 23 Sordaria fimicola stages in the dilation of ascospore sheaths in water with time 0-8h, when sheath area increased by 13% at 1h to 59%at 32h. 24 Ascospores of S. fimicola 3h after ejection through a 10-cm cylinder, sheath compact. 25 Ascospores of S. fimicola ejected through a 1-cm cylinder, sheath widespread and diffuse (photographs, R. Healey). 26, 27 SEM micrographs of Carbosphaerella leptosphaerioides exosporic sheath, which fragments in water and is extremely sticky. Sheath composed of interlinked fibers. Bars 23-25 10 µm; 26, 27 5μm



- 1. Uni- to bipolar gelatinous caps [Coccomyces clusiae (Lév.) Sacc., Lophodermium mahuianum P.R. Johnst.]
- 2. Barblike gelatinous appendages on the bend in the ascospore [Lophodermium alpinum (Rehm) Weese]
- 3. Well-developed sheaths (*Hypoderma cordylines* P.R. Johnst.)
- Species with a combination of bipolar caps and sheaths (*Lophodermium medium* P.R. Johnst., *L. brunneolum* P.R. Johnst.). However, the role of appendages and ensheathed ascospores and their development was not discussed.

Coelomycetes

As for the marine ascomycetes, coelomycete conidia show infinite variation in the type of appendages produced and have been extensively illustrated and described by Nag Raj (1993). Currently some 7000 coelomycete species have been described, of which approximately 420 have appendaged conidia that can be characterized into 11 developmental patterns (Nag Raj 1993). They fall into two basic forms:

1. Cellular, which involves the outgrowth of the conidial cell wall, usually the outer layer, the inner layer forming

Figs. 28–39. Polar appendages of aquatic ascomycetes. 28-31 Undescribed freshwater Halosarpheia sp. with an undifferentiated polar appendage, connected to the spore by a fine thread, appendage material initially amorphous. 32 Lulworthia sp. with a drop of released mucilage (arrows). 33, 34 Lulworthia sp. mucilage spreads out onto substratum (arrows in 33), greatly increasing the contact area with the surface (photograph, Jones 1994). 35-39 Thalespora appendiculata ascospores mounted in seawater form tetraradiate appendages (arrow head). Ascospores on release lack appendages (35), but over 1 h they form appendages, whereas those mounted in freshwater do not develop appendages (photograph, Jones et al. 2006). Bars 29-31 1 µm; 28 5μm; **32-34** 10μm; **35-39** 20μm



a delimiting septum (e.g., *Chaetospermum camelliae*; see Fig. 4). This type can be subdivided into two groups: those where the conidium is formed before the appendages (e.g., *Ch. camelliae*) and those formed before the conidium body [e.g., *Strasseria geniculata* (Berk. & Broome) Höhm].

2. Extracellular, when the conidial appendages are extruded and elaborated in various ways. Nag Raj (1993) recognized seven subtypes ranging from those with eversion and fragmentation of an exosporial sheath to extrusion of a mucilaginous appendage to gelatinization of an end conidial cell. These, and other conidial appendage types in the coelomycetes, warrant further study at the TEM level, as so few have been studied in any detail (Nag Raj 1993; Plaingam 2002; Plaingam et al. 2004).

Few coelomycete conidia have mucilaginous sheaths or show the release of a drop of mucilage. However, in many species appendaged conidia are enveloped in mucilage, often early in conidiogensis (see Figs. 4, 17, 18), and are therefore regarded as slime spores (Gregory 1952; Nag Raj 1993). In some genera or species where conidia have both polar and basal appendages, they are not always formed in the same manner: Infundibura adherens Nag Raj & K.W. Kendr. (Nag Raj and Kendrick 1981) has a mucoid funnelshaped, apical appendage and a terete, mucoid, basal appendage, while in Libartania ischyrolepis S.J. Lee & Crous there is a mucilaginous sheath and cellular polar appendage arising as a tubular extension of the conidium body (Lee and Crous 2003). Figures 17 and 18 illustrate the basal and polar appendages, respectively, of a *Pestalotiopsis* sp. (Plaingam 2002; Plaingam et al. 2004). The basal appendage arises from the inner wall of the conidium, while the polar appendage is formed by outgrowth of the outer and inner layers of the terminal cell of the conidium. The polar appendages are not delimited from the terminal cell as in Chaetospermum camelliae (see Fig. 4).

Hyphomycetes

Hyphomycetes, which often have dry conidia, rarely have appendages. Of 295 dematiaceous genera illustrated by Ellis (1971), less than 1% possess appendages. Most of these are cellular (setulae), although examples of conidia with a drop of extruded mucilage can be found in genera such as *Ellisembia*, *Linkosia*, *Sporidesmina*, *Sporidesmium*, *Stanjehughesia* (Wu and Zhuang 2005), and *Pseudoacrodictys dimorphospora* (see Fig. 19; Somrithipol and Jones 2003).

Appendaged conidia (e.g., *Dictyosporium* sp.; Fig. 20, mucilaginous?) have been reported for some dematiaceous hyphomycetes, e.g., *Dictyochaeta multisetula* Whitton, McKenzie & K.D. Hyde (Whitton et al. 2000), *Dictyosporium nigropice* Goh, W.H. Ho & K.D. Hyde (Goh et al. 1999), *D. canisporum* L. Cai & K.D. Hyde, and *D. tetraploides* L. Cai & K.D. Hyde (Cai et al. 2003b). In *Dichyochaetopsis brasiliensis* Calduch, Gené, Stchigel & Guaro, the hyaline conidia possess a thin, undulating, single polar appendage (setula) (Calduch et al. 2002). However, gelatinous sheaths are rare in the hyphomycetes (e.g., *Dactylaria tunicata* Goh & K.D. Hyde and *Delortia palmicola* Pat. & Gaillard) (Hyde and Goh 2003). Again, few of these have been studied at the SEM and TEM level, so that the origin of these appendages is not known.

Hyde and Goh (2003) documented lignicolous anamorphic fungi that produce conidia with modified appendages: setulae, or arms, that are functionally similar to those of "Ingoldian" Hyphomycetes. Setulae are produced by the genera *Dictyochaeta, Nawawia*, and *Obeliospora*, while conidial arms are formed by *Iyengarina* and *Sporidesmiella cornuta* Kuthub. & Nawawi (Goh and Tsui 2003).

Coprophilous fungi

Coprophilous ascomycetes are another ecological group with elaborate mucilaginous ascospore appendages for effecting attachment of the spores to substrata (Bell 1983, 2005; Lorenzo and Havrylenko 2001). Ascospores range from those with sheaths to those with primary and secondary appendages [e.g., *Sordaria fimicola* Ces & De Not, see Figs. 23–25; *Podospora pleiospora* (G. Winter) Niessl and *P. dactylina* N. Lundq., see Fig. 21]. In *Podospora anserine* (Raberh.) Niessl, the primary appendage is formed by elaboration, or fragmentation, of the spore wall, whereas the secondary appendage forms by exudation through one or more pores in the spore wall (Beckett et al. 1968; Read and Beckett 1996). In the discomycete *Thecotheus formosanus* Yei Z. Wang, the ascospores have a

Ascospores with elaborate appendages have to be ejected from the asci before they can dilate by the absorption of water. Ingold's (1971) studies indicated that in species such as *Saccobolous citrinus* Boud. & Torrend, and *S. glaber* (Pers.) Lamb., the ascospores stick together to produce a projectile that could be fired some distance from the ascoma (Brummelen 1967). However, in *Zygopleurage zygospora* (Speg.) Boedijn, each ascospore consists of two pigmented cells, linked by a hyaline intercalary cell, which can be seen within the ascus (Bell 1983). How these complicated structures are released is interesting!

sheath as well as small polar apiculi (?appendages) (Wang

The attachment of ascospores of coprophilous Ascomycota to herbage is well documented, as are the reasons for this (Ingold 1965, 1971). The gelatinous ascospore sheath swells in water (see Fig. 23), increasing in diameter and effecting greater adhesion to the surface.

Trichomycetes

1994).

Of the 255 Trichomycetes described, many have appendaged trichospores, with the exception of the taxa in the Amoebidiales (Lichtwardt 1976, 1984; Lichtwardt and Williams 1983a,b, 1984; Lichtwardt et al. 2001; Sato 2001). Sheaths or extrusion of a drop of mucilage have not been reported, although some may have adhesive spore cell walls. Appendages develop in two distinct ways within the subtending generative cell between the plasmamembrane and cell wall (Moss 1998). The appendages are attached either to the cross-wall separating the trichospore from the generative cell or to the lateral wall immediately below the cross-wall (Moss 1998). They also differ in development time; e.g., in Trichozygospora chironomidarum Lichtw. they are formed after septum formation whereas in Harpella melusinae L. Léger & Duboscq. they are formed before septum development.

Many trichospores have more than one appendage in a basal position, e.g., *Plecopteromyces leptoperlarum* Ferrington et al. and *P. trintoperlarum* Ferrington et al., both with two appendages (Ferrington et al. 2005). Moss (1999), Moss and Lichwardt (1976) and Sato (2001) have studied appendage development in the group. The function of trichospore appendages appears to be for entrapment on suitable hosts whereas attachment of the spores is by the development of adhesive holdfasts (Moss 1998). Sato (2001) describes the various stages of trichospore attachment and the development of holfasts. Other groups

Many other fungi have appendaged spores, for example, the sporangiospores of the Choanephoraceae with their fine bipolar appendages (e.g., the genera *Blakeslea*, *Choanephora*, and *Poitrasia*) (Kirk 1984). How these are formed is not known, but could it be by fragmentation of an exosporic sheath?

Adaptation of appendaged ascospores for release from asci

One of the problems in the release of ascospores with sheaths or elaborate mucilaginous appendages is how the spores are ejected through the ascus apical pore without getting stuck there? for example, the elaborate sheathed ascospores of Lophiostoma (=Massarina) ingoldianum. Or, how are sticky appendaged ascospores in marine ascomycetes released without adhering or getting trapped within the ostiolar canal? In taxa we have examined at the ultrastructural level, a delimiting membrane surrounds appendaged ascospores, and this is evident in released ascospores where the appendages have yet to expand (Read et al. 1994, 1996; Wong et al. 1999; Alias et al. 2001; Baker et al. 2001) (e.g., Saagaromyces ratnagirienis; see Figs. 10, 11). Clearly this thin membrane prevents appendage expansion until ascospores are released from the ascus or ascoma. In many ascomycetes referred to above, sheaths are often well developed within the ascus, but do not become sticky until released from the ascus or ascoma, when the delimiting membrane is ruptured or deliquesces. Many Halosarpheia species take a long time before the polar appendages uncoil, e.g., Sagaaromyces abonnis and S. ratnagiriensis. In others the salinity of the water may determine their rate of uncoiling (e.g., A. salsuginosa; Nakagiri and Ito 1994).

A delimiting membrane (also referred to as the membrane complex: Jones et al. 1986; Johnson et al. 1987; or the investing membrane: Furtado and Olive 1970), has been reported for a wide range of species. However, the function of the delimiting membrane has received little attention.

Effect of environmental factors on appendage development

Environmental conditions affect the absorption of water by appendages and degree of adhesion to a substratum. Read et al. (1991) demonstrated that hyphomycete conidia with the greatest contact area with a substratum were able to effect the best attachment. The spread of the mucilage spore drop on a surface has been well documented for *Lulworthia* species (see Figs. 32–34) and *Kohlmeyeriella tubulata* (Kohlm.) E.B.G. Jones, R.G. Johnson & S.T. Moss (Rees and Jones 1984).

In *Halosarpheia* and *Aniptodera*, the salinity of the water has a profound effect on appendage morphology. In

Aniptodera salsuginosa, ascospores mounted in salinities of 0‰-30‰, differed in the rate of unfurling of the appendages (Nakagiri and Ito 1994). In seawater (20% or 30%), appendages were firmly appressed to the spore wall after 10 min, began to separate from the spore wall after 15 min, and were fully expanded after 40min. In brackish water (10‰), approximately 50% had partly or fully uncoiled within 5min, and after 17-25min both polar appendages had become detached. In 5‰ seawater they began to uncoil immediately and were fully uncoiled after 5 min. However, in distilled water, all appendages were detached immediately. Nakagiri and Ito (1994) suggested that the fungus is adapted to mangrove brackish water ecosystems because of the response of appendages to water salinity. In a freshwater Halosarpheia species, amorphous material was released, and connected to the spore by a fine thread, before its organization into filaments (Jones, unpublished data; see Figs. 28–31).

In some freshwater (*Ascosacculus aquaticus*) and marine (*Thalespora appendiculata* Chatmala & E.B.G. Jones, see Figs. 35–39; *Savoryella appendiculata*, see Fig. 6) ascomycetes, tetrapolar polar appendages are formed once the ascospores are mounted in water. *Thalespora appendiculata* (Figs. 35–39) forms unipolar tetraradiate appendages in seawater, but these are not formed when mounted in freshwater (Jones et al., in press).

Function of appendaged spores and their role in attachment

Nag Raj (1993) reiterates the views of Gregory (1952) and Sutton (1986) that the possession of any structure is evidence that it has some function, in the case of conidia by the mechanisms for their liberation, dispersal, and deposition. Coelomycete conidia are predominantly slime-spores and thus not subject to dispersal by wind, and so splash liberation and insects are considered the primary method of dispersal. Water splash dispersal ensures that conidia scatter very rapidly and spread out on the surface of the film (Bandoni 1974). The water films then spread onto adjacent substrata and the conidia can make contact with the surface and effect attachment (Bandoni 1975). These water droplets can also be carried by wind currents and effect further dispersal of spores. Coelomycete conidia can attach rapidly to surfaces: e.g., Infundibura adhaerens, Amarenographium metableticum, and Callistospora gaubae Petr. (Nag Raj 1993). So what extra advantage do these appendages confer on dispersal and adhesion of coelomycete conidia, especially if they are not mucilaginous? Mucilaginous conidia may play a role in attachment to substrata while nonmucoid conidia with numerous setulae or appendages increase entrapment and contact with the host substratum. Cunnell (1958) suggested that the appendages of Robillarda phragmitis Cunnell aided conidial dispersal in surface water currents in the same way as tetraradiate propagules of hyphomycetes.

Jones (1995) suggested that all spores needed to attach to a substratum before they could begin to germinate. As a consequence, fungi have evolved a wide range of mechanisms to secure attachment, including the development of appendages and mucilaginous sheaths.

Cannon and Minter (1986) speculated as to the function of sheathed ascospores in the Rhytismataceae: (1) to seal the passage of spores through the ascus opening, thus preventing loss in hydrostatic pressure within it; (2) to provide water to facilitate germination of the spore under unfavorable conditions; or (3) to act as adhesive pads to anchor discharged spores to a substratum.

It is interesting to speculate where the development of a holdfast, the secretion of a polar or basal drop of mucilage, or formation of a mucilaginous sheath begins. As in the formation of polar appendages in the *Halosarpheia* sensu lato complex by extrusion of adhesive through discontinuities in the episporium, a similar process occurs in the release of mucilage through well-formed pits in the inner wall of trichospores. However, in the latter, it is generally in response to a contact stimulus with the surface of a host. Such a process is evident in the release of a mucilage drop in *Mangnaporthe grisea* Sacc., triggered by a contact stimulus with the plant host (Jones 1995; Howard and Valent 1996; Howard 1997).

In *Lasiosphaeria alexandrae* Joanne E. Taylor, J. Fröhl. & K.D. Hyde, the ascospore has a single polar spur with a spathulate mucilaginous tip, forming a padlike structure on contact with the substratum. The origin of the mucilaginous drop was not determined, but was it released in response to surface contact? (Taylor et al. 2001).

Further evidence for the role of appendages in dispersal and attachment is provided by Rees (1980), who studied the effect of sonication of appendaged ascospores of selected marine fungi. Sedimentation rates ranged from 0.10 to 0.21 mm/s, with the slowest sedimentation in Nia vibrissa R.T. Moore & Meyers and the fastest in Orbimyces spectabilis Linder and Nereiospora (=Corollospora) comata (Kohlm.) E.B.G. Jones, R.G. Johnson & S.T. Moss (0.35-0.41 mm/s). Sedimentation was shown to be affected by spore density, spore dimension, and appendage morphology. Torpedospora radiata Meyers had the slowest settlement rate, which was attributed to its low density and "parachute-type" descent facilitated by the arrangement of its appendages. Sonication to remove spore appendages resulted in an increased sedimentation rate. It was therefore concluded that spore appendages played a role in their dispersal in water.

Hyde et al. (1993) explored the loss of propagules of marine fungi from a spore suspension and their attachment to Kapok fibers in a rotating vessel. Species such as *Remispora pilleata* Kohlm. and *R. stellata* Kohlm. with large, appendaged ascospores tended to attach to the fiber extremely efficiently and to settle out rapidly in the absence of fiber. However, those of *Eiona tunicata* Kohlm. and *Nautosphaeria cristaminuta* E.B.G. Jones with smaller, appendaged spores attach less efficiently and were slower to settle in the absence of fiber, thus confirming the data from the sonication experiment reported above. Rapid and efficient attachment of marine fungal spores is essential for the colonization (often under turbulent conditions) of substrata in the sea. Different species have different attachment abilities, but how this affects their local and geographical distribution remains a subject for further study.

Healey et al. (unpublished data) showed that sheath adhesion in *Sordaria fimicola* increased with time: after 3h, 46.3% of the spores were attached to the substratum, and 83% at 12h with a hosing pressure of 10 mmHg. There was an increase of 13.2% in the area of contact with the surface after 1h, whereas at 32h it was 59.4%. Increased attachment with settlement time has also been reported for a wide range of marine fungi (Rees and Jones 1984; Hyde et al. 1986a,b, 1989).

However, few have examined how successful coprophilous spores are attached to a surface. Healey et al. (unpublished data) examined this aspect by allowing *S. fimicola* ascospores to be ejected over a distance of 1 and 10cm in an upright cylinder. The velocity at which ascospores impact on to a surface also affects the degree of adhesion; 90% of discharged spores up to 1cm from the medium remained attached after 3h at a hosing pressure of 2mmHg (see Fig. 22). However, only 69.6% of the spores discharged through a 10-cm cylinder remained attached over a similar period. There was a 22.7% greater spore and sheath contact area in the spores discharged over 1cm compared with those ejected through a 10-cm cylinder.

Another aspect important in the colonization of a substratum is the rate at which the spores become attached. Does the presence of an appendage confer an advantage over species without one? The conidia of the freshwater hyphomycetes Mycocentrospora filiformis (Greath.) S.H. Iqbal (filliform conidia) and *Lemonniera aquatica* De Wild. (tetraradiate conidia) are attached to a surface within 5-30min; however, we have no good data for how rapidly marine fungi attach to a surface. Personal observations indicate that appendaged ascospores of Carbosphaerella species (see Figs. 26, 27) and Kohlmeyeriella tubulata and Lulworthia sp. (see Figs. 32-34) attach very rapidly to glass slides. Experimental studies of the strength of attachment of ascospores of marine fungi show a range of responses (Rees and Jones 1984; Hyde et al. 1989). Eiona tunicata (ascospores 7.5–12 \times 3/5–5µm with small appendages) withstood higher shear stresses $(4.4-5.57 \text{ Nm}^{-2})$ than *Lautosporopsis* (=*Ceriosporopis*) *circumvestita* (Kohlm.) E.B.G. Jones, Yusoff & S.T. Moss (2.12–2.54 Nm⁻², ascospores $165-25 \times 9-13 \mu m$ with well-developed appendages) (Hyde et al. 1989). The former species was therefore better adapted to attachment to a substratum.

Despite the importance of fungal spores securing attachment, there has been a singular lack of experimental studies of this topic. What is the internal trigger mechanism involved in spore attachment? For appendaged spores, is the process totally passive? Can spores select the surfaces they can colonize? What are the effects of hydrophobicity, surface roughness/topography, and surface energy on spore attachment? Although some of these issues have been researched for plant and human pathogenic fungi, saprobes have fared poorly in comparison (Douglas 1987; Jones 1994).

Some fungi settle and adhere firmly to the substratum within 5–30 min, e.g., *Lulworthia* spp. and *Kohlmeyeriella tubulata*. Here other questions arise: does this attachment preclude wider dispersal of the spores? What evidence is there that appendages help in the dispersal of spores by flotation? Thus a number of questions remain unanswered and there is much scope for further experimental studies, preferably with surfaces other than glass slides or perspex. If appendages/sheaths play such an important role in securing attachment of spores, why are so few examples to be found in the Basidiomycota (exceptions are the marine genera *Digitatispora*, *Mycaureola*, and *Nia*) and most anamorphic fungi (with the exception of the coelomycetes)?

A number of other factors play a role in the liberation, dispersal, and attachment of spores, e.g., their shape (tetraradiate, filiform), density, and size. However, this is outside the scope of this review and must await another time (Ingold 1954; Jones 1994; Hyde and Goh 2003).

Evolution of appendaged ascospores

What is the most primitive type of ascospore appendage? This is a question that has rarely been raised or addressed. This brief review has demonstrated that fungi have evolved an interesting array of mechanisms for the dispersal, settlement, and attachment of spores to new substrata. Pang (2002) suggested that in marine fungi the most primitive type of appendage was a sheath, with those in ocean taxa the most advanced. He considered that taxa with unfurling ascospore appendages are intermediate in their development, and this view can be sustained by their occurrence in mangrove habitats. Nakagiri and Ito (1994) concluded that the unfurling of ascospore appendages of *Aniptodera salsuginosa* was better adapted to brackish water mangrove habitats rather than coastal marine habitats with a higher salinity range.

In Figs. 40 and 41 I outline the possible development of appendages in the Ascomycota, with particular emphasis on marine genera. This depiction is purely speculative but I hope it will generate an interest into how appendages arose and evolved. This aspect needs to be tested at the molecular level to determine their possible development (Sakayaroj 2005). As a starting point, spores with an adhesive cell wall (e.g., *Belizeana*) (Kohlmeyer and Volkman-Kohlmeyer 1987) might have given rise to those with a distinct mucilaginous sheath (*Massarina, Lophiostoma* species) (Fig. 40, route 1). Ascospores with sheaths are by far the most common within the Ascomycota, occurring in a wide range of taxonomic and ecological groups. Variation in the topography of sheaths led to the development of other forms:

- 1. Sheaths extended into folds (e.g., *Decorospora gaudefroyi*, *Lophiostoma ingoldianum*) (Shearer and Hyde 1997) (Fig. 40, route 5).
- 2. Fragmentation, or rupture of the sheath to form polar appendages [e.g., *Remispora maritima* Linder



Fig. 40. Suggested evolutionary lines in the development of ascospore appendages: route 1, sticky spore walls leading to a distinct mucilaginous sheath; route 2, outgrowths from the spore wall, but within a sheath; route 3, extension of the polar outgrowths leading to the development of polar end chambers; route 4, fragmentation of the exosporic sheath to form secondary appendages; route 5, elaboration of mucilaginous sheaths, followed by fragmentation to form polar or equatorial appendages, or both; route 6, elaboration of the polar and equatorial mucilaginous appendages, the exosporic layer fragmenting (drawings, S. Somrithipol)

(Manimohan et al. 1993), conidia of *Comatospora* suttonii Piroz. & Shoemaker, *Tiarosporella paludosa* (Pirozynski and Shoemaker 1971), *Tirisporella* beccariana (Ces.) E.B.G. Jones, K.D. Hyde & Alias (Jones et al. 1996; see Fig. 13)], or equatorial [*Melaspilea* mangrovei Vrijmoed, K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones (Vrijmoed et al. 1996)], or both polar and equatorial appendages (Fig. 40, route 5), e.g., *Groenhiella bivestia* Jørg. Koch, E.B.G. Jones & S.T. Moss; see Fig. 7).

- 3. Development of a polar appendage within the sheath [e.g., *Lophiostoma bipolare*, *Massarina ramunculicola*, *Thecotheus formosanus* (Wang 1994), *Lophodermium alpinum* (Johnston 1994), *Seynesia nobilis* (Hyde 1995b)] (Fig. 40, route 2).
- 4. Polar mucilaginous appendage external to the sheath [e.g., *Ceriosporopsis halima* Linder (Johnson et al. 1987), *Hapalocystis occidentalis* Jakl. & Voglmayr (Jaklitsch and Voglmayr 2004)] (Fig. 40, route 3); leading to the



Fig. 41. Further hypothetical development of appendaged ascospores: route *1*, release of mucilage from one or both ends of the spore, giving rise to unfurling bipolar appendages through a pore or discontinuities in the spore wall; route 2, outgrowths of the ascospore wall, and release of mucilage from an end chamber (drawing, S. Somrithipol)

formation of an end chamber with mucilage [e.g., *Ceriosporopsis tubulifera* (Kohlm.) P. Kirk (Johnson et al. 1987)].

5. The outgrowths of mucilage through the sheath [e.g., *Nimbospora bipolaris* K.D. Hyde & E.B.G. Jones (Hyde and Jones 1985)] (Fig. 40, route 2); or outgrowths of appendages through a sheath and fragmentation of an exosporium (e.g., *Marinospora calyptrata* (Kohlm.) A.R. Caval.) (Fig. 40, route 6). By further elaboration of these forms others have developed.

Although most ascospore sheaths are mucilaginous and composed of amorphous material (acidic polysaccharides?), others are more complicated, as in the elaborate sheath in *Carbosphaerella* species (see Figs. 26, 27) and *Appendichorella amicta* (Kohlm.) R.G. Johnson, E.B.G. Jones & S.T. Moss (Johnson et al. 1987). Others are thin and sheetlike and do not appear to be mucilaginous [e.g., *Corollospora maritima* Werderm.; *Halosphaeriopsis mediosetigera* (Cribb & J.W. Cribb) T.W. Johnson]. Ultrastructual studies have shown that most sheaths are exosporic in origin (Au and Vrijmoed 2002; Hyde et al. 2002).

A second line in the development of appendages might be the release of a drop of mucilage from the spore: single pole (e.g., *Okeanomyces cucullatus* K.L. Pang & E.B.G. Jones) or bipolar (e.g., *Linocarpon bipolaris* K.D. Hyde, *Massarina phragmiticola* O.K. Poon & K.D. Hyde) (Fig. 41, route 1). The latter may have given rise to those with bipolar unfurling appendages, as illustrated by a variety of freshwater and marine ascomycetes (e.g., *Halosarpheia fibrosa*). This latter group may also show variation in ultrastructure from those with a single homogeneous thread (*H. fibrosa*) to appendages comprising a basal amorphous structure with fibrillar material [e.g., *Cucullosporealla mangrovei* (Alias et al. 2001)]. Other lineages comprise forms with outgrowths of the ascospore wall: mesosporium only (e.g., Arenariomyces trifurcates Höhnk), of the episporium (e.g., Ondiniella torquata (Kohlm.) E.B.G. Jones, R.G. Johnson & S.T. Moss), and episporium and mesosporium (e.g., Lulworthia and Kohlmeyeriella species) (Fig. 41, route 2). The independent origin of these different forms is supported by molecular data (Sakaroyi 2005).

Kirk (1986) discussed evolutionary trends within the Halosphaeriaceae focusing on appendage form and structure, based on the limited data available at that time and before the advent of molecular data. Two evolutionary lines were proposed: one group with exosporic appendages, the other lacking such ornamentation. The latter group comprises Lignincola and Nais, genera lacking appendages, and Halosarpheia, Haligena, and Trichomaris (genera with only polar appendages) and Ondiniella with a polar and equatorial appendages arising as outgrowths of the episporium. The other group includes those with a wide variety of spore appendage morphology, including the genera Kohlmeyeriella, Lindra, and Lulworthia, now transferred to a new order, the Lulworthiales, based on small subunit (SSU) and large subunit (LSU) rDNA sequence data (Kohlmeyer et al. 2000).

Sakayaroj (2005) suggests there is no phylogenetic correlation between the types of appendage ontogeny found in the Halosphaeriaceae. Fungal spore appendages appear to have evolved independently many times within the marine environment, and this evolution would be in common with their pioneering role in that milieu. Pioneers generally are adapted to changing conditions in time and space by phenotypic plasticity (Margalef 1968) and this may account for the large number of monotypic genera (more than 50%) within the Halosphaeriaceae (marine ascomycetes). Confirmation by Spatafora et al. (1998) as to the terrestrial origins of marine higher fungi highlights their great adaptation to a new milieu, with the consequent elaboration in spore appendage morphology and structure, and the need to develop new strategies for the liberation, dispersal, and attachment of spores, often under turbulent conditions.

Conclusions

This review demonstrates the wide range of spore appendages to be found in all the major fungal taxa. Exceptions are the Basidiomycota (apart from the marine genera Digitatispora, Mycaureola, Nia) (Jones and Jones 1993) and anamorphic fungi (excluding many coelomycete genera, and those releasing a drop of mucilage). In marine and freshwater ascomycetes, appendaged ascospores clearly aid in their dispersal and attachment to substrata. The role of appendaged spores in terrestrial ascomycetes and coelomycetes is also associated with water, with splash liberation of spores and their spread in water films onto adjacent substrata, such as leaf litter and wood. Water is also vital for the attachment of coprophilous ascomycetes with the hydration of the mucilaginous ascospore sheaths. Although appendaged spores are well documented, much remains to be done to determine how they are formed.

For example, experiments to determine the role of appendages in spore attachment to various substrata and the factors that may influence this, such as surface energy, topography, surface chemistry, and electrical charges, need to be addressed. Furthermore, the evolutionary processes that gave rise to such variation in spore appendage morphology, particularly environmental conditions, need to be explored. We also have little information on the chemical nature of fungal spore appendages. Were initial appendages chitinous but later became mucilaginous and acidic?

Spore appendages and their attachment, although of academic interest, are especially relevant in plant and human pathology because they cause great economic losses. In bioremediation, they are of importance in developing fluidized bed systems for attachment of the fungi. Ecologically, they are vital in securing attachment of spores to new substrata and thus in the recycling of organic matter in nature. In the Trichomycetes, continued infection of the host is necessary, because the peritrophic membrane of the gut is cast at frequent intervals. Trichospore transmission from larva to larva within rivers is successfully achieved, despite the rapidity of water flowing over the larvae. How trichospores are carried from one drainage system to another remains unanswered (Lichtward and Williams 1983b; Moss 1998).

In his presidential address Evolution of the Fungi, Roy Cain (1972) drew attention to the fact that certain features appeared in many different groups of fungi, e.g., deliquescing asci, and staining of the ascus tip by Melzer's reagent. The only conclusion possible is that a repetition of the same feature has appeared in a number of different evolutionary lines, and arose as an adaptation to a particular environmental niche by different lines in fungal evolution. This conclusion is equally the case for the numerous examples of ascospore appendage development cited here.

Acknowledgments Foremost, I thank my colleagues: Dr. Sayanh Somrithipol for preparing the line drawings for Figs. 19-21, 40, 41, and Dr. Lai Pang for assistance with the photographic plates, reading an early draft of this manuscript, and making useful suggestions. I appreciated the critical comments of reviewer A and Professor Kevin Hyde for many discussions. I thank my past and present students for allowing me to refer to their work and reproduce photographs (Drs. N. Plaingam, T. Baker, I. Chatmala, U. Pinruan, S. Seutrong, and my colleague Professor Lilian Vrijmoed). Particular thanks go to Professor Morakot Tanticharoen, Director BIOTEC, for continued support. For financial support, I thank BRT and BIOTEC Thailand, and City University of Hong Kong Strategic Grants to Lilian Vrijmoed. Many colleagues have offered sanctuary for me to work in their laboratories and assisted in collecting material, and I thank them most sincerely. I pay special thanks to my wife Marion for her continued support, patience, and understanding.

References

- Alias SA, Moss ST, Jones EBG (2001) *Cucullosporella mangrovei*, ultrastructure of ascospores and their appendages. Mycoscience 42:405–411
- Aptroot A (2004) Two new ascomycetes with long gelatinous appendages collected from monocots in the tropics. Stud Mycol 50:307– 311

- Ando K, Tubaki K (1984) Some undescribed hyphomycetes in raindrops from the intact leaf-surface. Trans Mycol Soc Jpn 25:39– 47
- Au DTW, Vrijmoed LLP (2002) A comparative ultrastructural study of ascospore sheaths in selected marine Ascomycota. In: Hyde KD (ed) Fungi in marine environments. Fungal Divers Res Ser 7:81– 91
- Baker TA, Jones EBG, Moss ST (2001) Ultrastructure of ascus and ascospore appendages of the mangrove fungus *Halosarpheia ratnagiriensis* (Halosphaeriales, Ascomycota). Can J Bot 79:1– 11
- Bandoni RJ (1972) Terrestrial occurrence of some aquatic Hyphomycetes. Can J Bot 50:2283–2288
- Bandoni RJ (1974) Mycological observations on the aqueous forms covering decaying leaves and other litter. Trans Mycol Soc Jpn 15:309–315
- Bandoni RJ (1975) Surface-active spore slimes. Can J Bot 53:2543– 3546
- Bauer R, Begerow D, Oberwinkler F, Maranová L (2003) *Classicula*: the teleomorph of *Naiadella fluitans*. Mycologia 95:756–764
- Beckett A, Barton R, Wilson IM (1968) Fine structure of the wall and appendage formation in ascospores of *Podospora anserina*. J Gen Microbiol 53:89–94
- Bell A (1983) Dung fungi, an illustrated guide to coprophilous fungi in New Zealand. Victoria University Press, Wellington
- Bell A (2005) An illustrated guide to the coprophilous Ascomycetes of Australia. CBS Biodivers Ser 3:1–178
- Brummelen van J (1967) A world monograph of the genera Ascobolus and Saccobolous (Ascomycetes, Pezizales). Persoonia 1:1–260
- Cai L, Zhang K, Hyde KD (2003a) Freshwater Ascomycetes. Fungal Divers Res Ser 10:275–324
- Cai L, Zhang K, McKenzie EHC, Hyde KD (2003b) New species of *Dictyosporium*, and *Digitodesmium* from submerged wood in Yunnan, China. Sydowia 55:129–135
- Cain RF (1972) Evolution of the fungi. Mycologia 64:1–14
- Calduch M, Gené J, Stchigel AM, Guarro J (2002) New species of Dictyochaetopsis and Paraceratocladium from Brazil. Mycologia 94:1071–1077
- Campbell J, Anderson JL, Shearer CA (2003) Systematics of *Halosarpheia* based on morphological and molecular data. Mycologia 95:530–552
- Campbell J, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Gräfenhan T, Spatafora JW, Kohlmeyer J (2005) A re-evaluation of Lulworthiales: relationships based on 18S and 28S rDNA. Mycol Res 109:556–568
- Canon PF, Minter DW (1986) The Rhytismataceae of the Indian subcontinent. Mycol Pap No. 155. C.A.B. International Mycological Institute, Slough
- Cunnell J (1958) On *Robillarda phragmitis* sp. nov. Trans Br Mycol Soc 41:405–412
- Descals E (2005) Diagnostic characters of propagules of Ingoldian fungi. Mycol Res 109:545–555
- Douglas LJ (1987) Adhesion to surfaces. In: Rose AH, Harrison JS (eds) The yeasts, vol 2. Academic Press, London, pp 239–280
- Duong LM, Lumyong S, Hyde KD, Jeewon R (2004) *Emarsea* castanopsidicola gen. et sp. nov. from Thailand, a new xylariaceous taxon based on morphological and DNA sequences. Stud Mycol 50:253–260
- Ellis MB (1971) Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, UK
- Fallah PM, Shearer CA (2001) Freshwater ascomycetes: new or noteworthy species from north temperate lakes in Wisconsin. Mycologia 93:566–602
- Ferrington LC, Lichtwardt RW, Hayford B, Williams MC (2005) Symbiotic Harpellales (Trichomycetes) in Tasmanian aquatic insects. Mycologia 97:254–262
- Fröhlich J, Hyde KD (2000) Palm microfungi. Fungal Divers Res Ser 3:1–375
- Furtado JS, Olive LS (1970) Ultrastructure of ascospore development in Sordaria fimicola. J Mitchell Soc 86:131–137
- Goh TK, Tsui CKM (2003) Key to common dematiaceous Hyphomycetes from freshwater. In: Tsui CKM, Hyde KD (eds) Freshwater mycology. Fungal Diver Res Ser 10:345–343
- Goh TK, Hyde KD, Ho WH Yanna (1999) A revision of the genus *Dictyosporium*, with descriptions of three new species. Fungal Divers 2:65–100

Gregory PH (1952) Fungus spores. Trans Br Mycol Soc 35:1–18

Ho WH, Hyde KD (2000) A new family of freshwater ascomycetes. Fungal Divers 4:21–36

- Ho WH, Hyde KD, Hodgkiss IJ (1999) Ascal ultrastructural study in *Annulatascus hongkongensis* sp. nov., a freshwater ascomcyete. Mycologia 91:885–892
- Howard RJ (1997) Breaching the outer barriers cuticle and cell wall penetration. In: Carroll GC, Tudzynski (eds) The Mycota. V. A plant relationship. Springer, Berlin, pp 43–60
- Howard RJ, Valent A (1996) Breaking and entering: host penetration by the fungal rice blast pathogen *Magnoporthe grisea*. Annu Rev Microbiol 50:491–512
- Hou C-L, Lin Y-R, Piepenbring M (2005) Species of Rhytismataceae on needles of *Juniperus* spp. from China. Can J Bot 83:37–46
- Hyde KD (1992) Tropical Australian freshwater fungi. IV. Halosarpheia aquatica sp. nov., Garethjonesia lacunosispora gen. et sp. nov. and Ophioceras dolichostomum (Ascomycetes). Aust Syst Bot 5:407–414
- Hyde KD (1994) Fungi on palms. XII. Three new intertidal ascomycetes from submerged palm fronds. Sydowia 46:257–264
- Hyde KD (1995a) Fungi from palms. XX. The genus *Guignardia*. Sydowia 47:180–198
- Hyde KD (1995b) Fungi from palms XXI. The genus Seynesia. Sydowia 47:199–212
- Hyde KD, Fröhlich J (1995) Fungi on palms. XXIII. *Rachidicola* gen. nov. Sydowia 47:217–222
- Hyde KD, Goh TK (1999) Fungi on submerged wood from the river Coln, England. Mycol Res 103:1561–1574
- Hyde KD, Goh TK (2003) Adaptations for dispersal in filamentous freshwater fungi. Fungal Divers Res Ser 10:231–258
- Hyde KD, Jones EBG (1985) Marine fungi from Seychelles. I. Nimbospora effusa and Nimbospora bipolaris sp. nov. from driftwood. Can Bot 63:611–617
- Hyde KD, Wong SW (1999) Tropical Australian freshwater fungi. XV. The ascomycete genus *Jahnula*, with five new species and one new combination. Nova Hedwigia 68:489–509
- Hyde KD, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1986a) Mycelial adhesion to surfaces. In: Moss ST (ed) The biology of marine fungi. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 331–341
- Hyde KD, Jones EB, Moss ST (1986b) How do fungal spores attach to surfaces? In: Barr S, Houghton DR, Llewellyn GC, O'Rear CE (eds) Biodeterioration 6. CAB International Mycological Institute and The Biodeterioration Society, Slough, pp 584–589
- Hyde KD, Moss ST, Jones EBG (1989) Attachment studies in marine fungi. Biofouling 1:287–298
- Hyde KD, Greenwood R, Jones EBG (1993) Spore attachment in marine fungi. Mycol Res 97:7–14
- Hyde KD, Read SJ, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1997) *Rivulicola incrustata* gen. et sp. nov. and notes on *Ceratosphaeria lampadophora*. Nova Hedwigia 64:185–196
- Hyde KD, Goh TK Steinke TS (1998a) Fungi on submerged wood in the Paliet River, Durban, South Africa. South Afr J Bot 64:151–162
- Hyde KD, Wong WSW, Jones EBG (1998b) *Diluviicola capensis* gen. et sp., nov. a freshwater ascomycete with unique polar caps on the ascospores. Fungal Divers 1:133–146
- Hyde KD, Wong WSW, Jones EBG (1999) *Cataractispora* gen. nov. with three new freshwater lignicolous species. Mycol Res 103:1019– 1031
- Hyde KD, Sarma VV, Jones EBG (2000a) Morphology and taxonomy of higher marine fungi. In: Hyde KD, Pointing SB (eds) Marine mycology – a practical approach. Fungal Divers Res Ser 1. Fungal Diversity Press, Hong Kong, pp 171–204
- Hyde KD, Taylor JE, Fröhilch J (2000b) Genera of ascomycetes from palms. Fungal Divers Res Ser 2:1–230
- Hyde KD, Wong WSW, Aptroot A (2002) Marine and estuarine species of *Lophiostoma* and *Massarina*. In: Hyde KD (ed) Fungi in marine environments. Fungal Divers Res Ser 7:93–109
- Inderbitzin P, Landvik S, Abdel-Wahab MA, Berbee ML (2001) Aliquandostipitaceae, a new family for two new tropical Ascomcyetes with unusually wide hyphae and dimorphic ascomata. Am J Bot 88:52–61
- Ingold CT (1954) Ascospore form. Trans Br Mycol Soc 37:19-21
- Ingold CT (1965) Spore liberation. Oxford University Press, U.K.
- Ingold CT (1971) Fungal spores: their liberation and dispersal. Oxford Clarendon Press, Oxford

- Jaklitsch WM, Voglmayr H (2004) *Hapalocystis occidentalis*: a new species of Diaporthales from North America and a key to the species of *Hapalocystis*. Stud Mycol 50:229–234
- Johnson RG (1982) Ultrastructure and histochemistry of the ontogeny of ascospores and their appendages in marine Ascomycetes. PhD thesis. CNAA, Portsmouth Polytechnic, Portsmouth
- Johnson RG, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1987) Taxonomic studies of the Halosphaeriaceae, *Ceriosporopsis* Linder, *Haligena* Kohlm. and *Appendichordella* gen. nov. Can J Bot 65:931–942
- Johnston PR (1994) Ascospore sheaths of some *Coccomyces*, *Hypoderma*, and *Lophodermium* species (Rhytismataceae). Mycotaxon 52:221–239
- Jones AM, Jones EBG (1993) Observations on the marine gasteromycete Nia vibrissa. Mycol Res 97:1–6
- Jones EBG (1994) Fungal adhesion. Presidential address 1992. Mycol Res 98:961–981
- Jones EBG (1995) Ultrastructure and taxonomy of the aquatic ascomycetous order Halosphaeriales. Can J Bot 73(suppl 1):S790– S801
- Jones EBG, Hyde KD (1992) Taxonomic studies on Savoryella Jones et Eaton (Ascomycotina). Bot Mar 35:83–91
- Jones EBG, Johnson RG, Moss ST (1983) Taxonomic studies of the Halosphaeriaceae: Corollospora Wedermann. Bot J Linn Soc 87:1– 20
- Jones EBG, Johnson RG, Moss ST (1986) Taxonomic studies of the Halosphaeriaceae. Philosophy and rationale for the selection of characters in the delineation of genera. In: Moss ST (ed) The biology of marine fungi. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 211– 230
- Jones EBG, Hyde KD, Alias SA, Moss ST (1996) *Tirisporella* gen. nov. an ascomycete from the mangrove palm *Nypa fruticans*. Can J Bot 74:1487–1495
- Jones EBG, Wong S-W, Sivichai S, Au DWT, Hywel-Jones NL (1999) Lignicolous freshwater Ascomycota from Thailand: *Micropeltopsis* quinquecladiopsis sp. nov. Mycol Res 103:729–735
- Jones EBG, Chatmala I, Pang KL (2006) Two new genera isolated from marine habitats in Thailand: *Pseudolignincola* and *Thalespora* (Halosphaeriales, Ascomycota). Nova Hedwigia 83:(in press)
- Kendrick B (ed) (1979) The whole fungus. National Museum of Natural Science, Ottawa, Canada
- Kirk PM (1984) A monograph of the Choanephoraceae. CMI mycological paper. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew
- Kirk PW (1966) Morphogenesis and microscopic cytochemistry of marine pyrenomycete ascospores. Nova Hedwigia 22:1–128
- Kirk PW (1976) Cytochemistry of marine fungal spores. In: Jones EBG (ed) Recent advances in aquatic mycology. Elek Science, London, pp 177–192
- Kirk W (1986) Evolutionary trends within the Halosphaeriaceae. In: Moss ST (ed) The biology of marine fungi. Cambridge University Press, pp 263–273
- Koch J, Jones EBG (1983) Vedboende havsvampe fra danske Kyster. Svampe 8:49–65
- Koch J, Jones EBG (1984) Lulworthia lignoarenaria, a new marine pyrenomycete from coastal sands. Mycotaxon 20:389–395
- Kohlmeyer J, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B (1987) Marine fungi from Belize with a description of two new genera of ascomycetes. Bot Mar 30:195–204
- Kohlmeyer J, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Eriksson OE (1995) Fungi on *Juncus roemerianus*. New marine and terrestrial ascomycetes. Mycol Res 100:393–404
- Kohlmeyer J, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Eriksson OE (1996) Fungi on *Juncus roemerianus*. 8. New bitunicate ascomycetes. Can J Bot 74:1830–1840
- Kohlmeyer J, Spatafora JW, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B (2000) Lulworthiales, a new order of marine Ascomycota. Mycologia 92:453–458
- Lee S, Crous PW (2003) New coelomycetes occurring on Restionaceae. Sydowia 55:115–126
- Lichtwardt RT (1976) Trichomycetes. In: Jones EBG (ed) Recent advances in aquatic mycology. Elek Science, London, pp 651– 671
- Lichtwardt RT (1984) Species of Harpellales living within the guts of aquatic diptera larvae. Mycotaxon 19:529–550

- Lichtwardt RW, Williams MC (1983a) Two unusual Trichomycetes in an aquatic midge larva. Mycologia 75:728–734
- Lichtwardt RW, Williams MC (1983b) A new Legeriomyces (Harpellales) with variable trichospore size. Mycoae 75:757– 761
- Lichtwardt RW, Williams MC (1984) Zygopolaris borealis, a new gut fungus (Trichomycetes) living in aquatic mayfly larvae. Can J Bot 62:1283–1286
- Lichtwardt RT, White MM, Colo MH (2001) Harpellales in Newfoundland aquatic insect larvae. Mycologia 93:764–773
- Lorenzo LE, Havrylenko M (2001) The genera Arnium and Podospora from Argentina. Mycologia 93:1221–1230
- Lundqvist N (1972) Nordic Sordariaceae. Symb Bot Ups 20:1-374
- Lutley M, Wilson IM (1972) Development and fine structure of ascospores in the marine fungus *Ceriosporopsis halima*. Trans Br Mycol Soc 58:393–402
- Manimohan P, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1993) Ultrastructural studies of ascospores of some *Remispora* species. Can J Bot 71:385–392
- Margalef R (1968) Perspectives in ecological theory. University of Chicago Press, Chicago
- Misra JK, Horn BW (eds) (2001) Trichomycetes and other fungal groups. Science Publishing, Enfield, NH
- Moss ST (1990) The relevance of scanning electron microscopy to the taxonomy of marine ascomycetes. In: Glaugher D (ed) Scanning electron microscopy in taxonomy and functional morphology. Systematics Association Special, vol 41. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, pp 149–170
- Moss ST (1998) Harpellales (Trichomycetes); mycobionts of Insecta. Bot J Scotl 50:137–153
- Moss ST (1999) Astreptonema gammari: an eccrinid with appendaged spores. Kew Bull 54:637–650
- Moss ST, Lichtwardt RW (1976) Development of trichospores and their appendages in *Genistellospora homothallica* and other Harpellales and fine structure evidence for the sporangial nature of trichospores. Can J Bot 54:2346–2364
- Nag Raj TR (1993) Coelomycetes: Anamorphs with appendagedbearing conidia. Mycologue Publishing, Ontario
- Nag Raj TR, Kendrick B (1981) *Infundibura*, a new hyphomycete with unique appendages. Can J Bot 59:542–546
- Nakagiri A, Ito T (1994) *Aniptodera salsuginosa*, a new mangroveinhabiting ascomcyete, with observations on the effect of salinity on ascospores appendage development. Mycol Res 98:931–936
- Pang KL (2002) Systematics of the Halosphaeriales: which morphological characters are important. In: Hyde KD (ed) Fungi in marine environments. Fungal Divers Res Ser 7:35–57
- Pang KL, Jones EBG (2004) Reclassifications in *Halosarpheia* and related genera with unfurling ascospore appendages. Nova Hedwigia 78:269–271
- Pang KL, Abdel-Wahab MA, El-Sharouney HM, Sivichai S, Jones EBG (2002) Jahnulales (Dothideomyces, Ascomycota) a new order of lignicolous freshwater ascomycetes. Mycol Res 106:1031–1042
- Pang KL, Vrijmoed LLP, Kong RYC, Jones EBG (2003a) *Lignincola* and *Nais*, polyphyletic genera of the Halosphaeriales (Ascomycota). Mycol Prog 2:29036
- Pang KL, Vrijmoed LLP, Kong RYC, Jones EBG (2003b) Polyphyly of *Halosarpheia* (Halosphaeriales, Ascomycota): implications on the use of unfurling ascospore appendages as a systematic character. Nova Hedwigia 77:1–18
- Paulus BC, Barr ME, Gadek P, Hyde KD (2003) Three new ascomycetes from a tropical Australian rainforest. Mycotaxon 88:87– 96
- Pinruan U, Jones EBG, Hyde KD (2002) Aquatic fungi from peat swamp palms: Jahnula appendiculata sp. nov. Sydowia 54:242– 247
- Pinruan U, Sakayaroj J, Jones EBG, Hyde KD (2004) Flammispora gen. nov., a new freshwater ascomycetes from decaying palm leaves. Stud Mycol 50:381–386
- Pirozynski KA, Shoemaker RA (1971) Some Coelomycetes with appendaged conidia. Can J Bot 49:529–541
- Plaingam N (2002) Ultrastructure and biodiversity of tropical coelomycetes. PhD thesis. Kong Mongkut University of Technology, Thonburi, Thailand
- Plaingam N, Somrthipol S, Jones EBG (2004) Coelomycetes. In: Jones EBG, Tantichareon M, Hyde KD (eds) Thai fungal diversity. BIOTEC, Pathum Thani, Thailand, pp 61–69

- Raja H, Campbell J, Shearer CA (2003) Freshwater ascomycetes: *Cyanoannulua petersenii*, a new genus and species from submerged wood. Mycotaxon 138:1–7
- Read ND, Beckett A (1996) Ascus and ascospore morphogenesis. Mycol Res 100:1281–1314
- Read SJ, Moss ST, Jones EBG (1991) Attachment studies of aquatic Hyphomycetes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 334:449–457
- Read SJ, Hsieh S-Y, Jones EBG, Moss ST, Chang HS (1992) *Paraliomyces lentiferus*: an ultrastructural study of a little-known marine ascomycete. Can J Bot 70:2223–2232
- Read SJ, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1993) Taxonomic studies of marine Ascomycotina: ultrastructure of the asci, ascospores, and appendages of *Savoryella* species. Can J Bot 71:273–283
- Read SJ, Moss ST, Jones EBG (1994) Ultrastructure of asci and ascospore sheath of *Massarina thalassiae* (Loculoascomycetes, Ascomycotina). Bot Mar 37:547–554
- Read SJ, Moss ST, Jones EBG (1996) Ultrastructure of asci, ascospores and appendages of *Massarina ramunculicola* (Loculoascomycetes, Ascomycota). Bot Mar 40:465–471
- Read SJ, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1997) Ultrastructural observations of ascospores and appendages of *Massarina armatispora*. Mycosience 38:141–146
- Rees G (1980) Factors affecting the sedimentation rate of marine fungal spores. Bot Mar 23:375–385
- Rees G, Jones EBG (1984) Observations on the attachment of spores of marine fungi. Bot Mar 27:145–160
- Sakayaroj J (2005) Phylogenetic relationships of marine Ascomycota. PhD thesis. Prince of Songkla University, Thailand
- Sato H (2001) Ultrastructure of Trichomycetes. In: Misra JK, Horn BW (eds) Trichomycetes and other fungal groups. Science Publishing, Enfield, NH, pp 73–98
- Shearer CA (1993) The freshwaterAscomycetes. Nova Hedwigia 56:1– 33
- Shearer CA (2001) The distribution of freshwater filamentous ascomycetes. In: Misra JK, Horn BW (eds) Trichomycetes and other fungal groups. Science Publishing, Enfield, NH, pp 225– 292
- Shearer CA, Hyde KD (1997) *Massarina ingoldiana*, a new ascomycete from freshwater habitats. Mycologia 89:114–119
- Shoemaker RA, Babcock CE (1992) Applanodictyosporous Pleosporales: Clathrospora, Comoclathris, Graphyllium, Macrospora and Platysporoides. Can J Bot 70:1617–1658
- Somrithipol S, Jones EBG (2003) *Pseudoacrodictys domorphospora* sp. nov., a new graminicolous hyphomycete from Thailand. Sydowia 55:365–371
- Spatafora JW, Volkmann-Kohlmeyer B, Kohlmeyer J (1998) Independent terrestrial origins of the Halosphaeriales (marine Ascomycota). Am J Bot 85:1569–1580
- Sutton BC (1986) Improvisations on conidial themes. Trans Br Mycol Soc 86:1–38
- Tanaka K, Harada Y (2005) Bambusicolous fungi in Japan (6): *Katumotoa*, a new genus of phaeosphaeriaceous ascomycetes. Mycoscience 46:313–318
- Tanaka K, Harada Y, Barr ME (2005) Bambusicolous fungi in Japan (3): a new combination, *Kalmusia scabrispora*. Mycoscience 46:110– 113
- Taylor JE, Hyde KD (2003) Microfungi of tropical and temperate palms. Fungal Divers Res Ser 12:1–459
- Taylor JE, Fröhlich J, Hyde KD (2001) *Lasiosphaeria* and a similar new genus from palms. Mycoscience 42:369–377
- Tsui CKM, Hyde KD (eds) (2003) Freshwater mycology. Fungal Divers Res Ser 10:1–350
- Vrijmoed LLP, Hyde KD, Jones EBG (1996) Melaspilea mangrovei sp. nov., from Australia and Hong Kong mangroves. Mycol Res 100:291–294
- Wang Y-Z (1994) Two new coprophilous discomycetes (Pezizales) from Taiwan. Mycotaxon 52:83–90
- Webster J (1987) Convergent evolution and the functional significance of spore shape in aquatic and semi-aquatic fungi. In: Rayner ADM, Brasier CM, Moore D (eds) Evolutionary biology of the fungi. BMS Symposium. British Mycological Society, Kew, pp 191– 201
- Whitton SR, McKenzie EHC, Hyde KD (2000) *Dictyochaeta* and *Dictyochateopsis* species from the Pandanaceae. Fungal Divers 4:133–158

- Wong WSW, Hyde KD (1999) Ultrastructural observations on Oxydothis alexandrarum. Fungal Divers 2:181–188
- Wong WSW, Hyde KD, Jones EBG (1999) Ultrastructural studies on freshwater ascomycetes, *Fluminicola bipolaris* gen. et sp. nov. Fungal Divers 2:189–197
- Wu W, Zhuang W (2005) Sporidesmium, Endophragmiella and related genera in China. Fungal Divers Res Ser 15:1–257
- Yusoff M, Moss ST, Jones EBG (1994) Ascospore ultrastructure of *Pleospora gaudefroyi* Patouillard (Pleosporaceae, Loculoa-scomycetes, Ascomycotina). Can J Bot 72:1–6
- Yusoff M, Jones EBG, Moss ST (1995) Ascospore ultrastructure in the marine genera *Lulworthia* Sutherland and *Lindra* Wilson. Crypt Bot 5:307–315
- Zhou DQ, Hyde KD (2000) Submerisphaeria bamusicola sp. nov., from bamboo in Hong Kong. Fungal Divers 4:181–186